- RAJENDRAN, S. UND V. SRIRANJINI, 2008: Plant products as fumigants for stored product insect control. J. Stored Prod. Res. 44: 126-
- SANCHEZ-MARIÑEZ, R.I., CORTEZ-ROCHA, M.O., ORTEGA-DORAME, F., MORALES-VALDES, M. UND M.I. SILVEIRA, 1997: End-use quality of flour from *Rhyzopertha dominica* infested wheat. Cereal chemistry **74**: 481-483.
- SHAH, M.A. UND A.A. KHAN, 2014: Use of diatomaceous earth for the management of stored-product pests. International Journal of Pest Management. 60 (2): 100-113.
- STEJSKAL, V., HUBERT, J., AULICKY, R. UND Z. KUCEROVA, 2015: Overview of present and past and pest-associated risks in stored food and feed products: European prospective. Journal of Stored Products Research **64**: 122-132.
- SUBRAMANYAM, B.H. UND R. ROESLI, 2000: Inert dusts. In (B.H. Subramanyam and D.W. Hagstrum (Eds.), Alternatives to pesticides in stored-product IPM. Dordreecht: Kluwer Academic Publihers: 321-380.

Olfactory host location and host preference of *Holepyris sylvanidis* (Hymenoptera: Bethylidae) and *Cephalonomia waterstoni* (Bethylidae), two natural enemies of *Tribolium* and *Cryptolestes* species

Marco Amante^{1*}, Agatino Russo¹, Matthias Schöller², Johannes L.M. Steidle³

- ¹ University of Catania, Dipartimento di Agricoltura, Alimentazione e Ambiente, via Santa Sofia, 100, 95123 Catania, Italy
- ² Biologische Beratung GmbH, Storkower Str. 55, D-10409 Berlin, Germany
- ³University Hohenheim, Institut für Zoologie, Fachgebiet Tierökologie 220c, Garbenstrasse 30, 70593 Stuttgart, Germany
- * Corresponding author: marcoamante@live.it DOI 10.5073/jka.2018.463.119

Abstract

Parasitoids can suppress populations of their host and thus play a primary role in Integrated Pest Management. In the stored product environment, stimuli deriving from plant products, damaged plant products and hosts might be important for host location by the parasitoids. We studied foraging cues in *Holepyris sylvanidis* (Hymenoptera: Bethylidae), a larval parasitoid of *Tribolium* species and *Cephalonomia waterstoni* (Bethylidae), a natural enemy of the rusty grain beetle *Cryptolestes ferrugineus* (Coleoptera: Cucujidae). Our studies in a fourchamber olfactometer revealed that the host complexes of both *Tribolium* species and different living host stages attract naive *H. sylvanidis* females, whereas no reaction was observed to uninfested substrates. The olfactory response of *C. waterstoni* was found to be strongly elicited both by chemicals emitted by the dust, adult *C. ferrugineus* and *C. ferrugineus* third and fourth instar larvae. Our findings may contribute to the development of biological control strategies of *T. castaneum*, *T. confusum* and *C. ferrugineus* with parasitoids.

Keywords: natural enemies, Bethylidae, stored product pests, biological control

Introduction

The bethylid wasp *Cephalonomia waterstoni* Gahan is an external, arrhenotokous idiobiont larval ectoparasitoid. Hosts are *Cryptolestes ferrugineus* (Stephens), *C. pusillus* (Schönherr) and *C. turcicus* (Grouvelle) (Coleoptera: Cucujidae) (Finlayson, 1950a; 1950b). *C. waterstoni* is able to find hosts by recognizing residual kairomonal cues on infested substrates, similar to other parasitoids (Howard & Flinn, 1990). Hagstrum (1987) and Reichmuth et al. (2007) reported the ability of *C. waterstoni* to maintain the population of rusty grain beetles below the economic threshold.

The parasitic wasp *Holepyris sylvanidis* (Brèthes) (Hymenoptera: Bethylidae) is a larval parasitoid of *Tribolium confusum* Jacqueline du Val and *T. castaneum* (Herbst) (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae), the economically most important stored product pests worldwide (Athanassiou et al., 2005; García et al., 2005). The host-searching behaviour of *H. sylvanidis* is influenced by the presence of host faeces, in which two compounds are thought to be responsible for the attraction: (*E*)-2-nonenal and 1-pentadecene (Fürstenau et al., 2016). The ability of *H. sylvanidis* to penetrate cracks and crevices makes it a promising natural enemy against stored-product pests. Pest larvae are often hidden under thin layers of substrate, in aeration ducts, in machines and in areas that are difficult to clean, but this wasp is able to access these critical environments.

Materials and Methods

Olfactory responses of C. waterstoni

The experiments were carried out in a static four-chamber olfactometer proposed by Steidle & Schöller (1997). The experiments evaluated the parasitoids response towards the following odour sources: (1) healthy grain (HGR), consisting of undamaged and uninfested wheat harvested in Germany in 2013; (2) grain infested by *Sitophilus granarius* (L.) (Coleoptera, Dryophthoridae) (IGR), which was obtained from the stock rearing of Hohenheim University; (3) a mixture of grain dust from the mass rearings of *Rhyzopertha dominica* (F.) (Coleoptera, Bostrichidae), *Oryzaephilus surinamensis* (L.) (Coleoptera, Silvanidae) and *C. ferrugineus* on durum wheat (DST), which was collected from cultures kept at 30°C and 60 % relative humidity (RH), the insects and the wheat were kept in a 100 l bin for about 6 months; (4) dust which was obtained by sieving infested durum wheat after being fed on by adult S. granarius from Hohenheim's University laboratory (DSH); (5) diet without *C. ferrugineus* (DIT), consisting of big oats, small oats and wheat in the ratio 1:1:2, this diet also contained one tea-spoon of yeast and water, respectively; (6) diet plus *C. ferrugineus* (DCR), which was like the diet without *C. ferrugineus*, but in this case 50 randomly chosen adults were added, (7) male and female *C. ferrugineus* adults (ADU), (8) mixed larvae (LRM), i.e. 50 randomly chosen larvae of *C. ferrugineus*.

Olfactory responses of H. sylvanidis

In the experiments we studied the parasitoid females reaction towards the following odour sources: (1) *T. castaneum* host complex (CAH) consisting of 0.5 g whole grains, 0.5 g broken grains, first to second instar larvae (n = 2), fourth instar larvae (n = 2), pupae (n = 2) and adults (n = 2); (2) *T. confusum* host complex (COH) consisting of 0.5 g whole grains, 0.5 g broken grains, first to second instar larvae (n = 2), fourth instar larvae (n = 2), pupae (n = 2) and adults (n = 2); (3) whole grains (WHG) consisting of 1 g whole grains (*Triticum durum*); (4) flour (FLR) consisting of 1 g of flour (*Triticum aestivum*); (5) *T. castaneum* first to second instar larvae (CA1-2) (n = 10); (6) *T. castaneum* fourth instar larvae (CA4) (n = 10); (7) *T. confusum* first to second instar larvae (CO1-2) (n = 10); (8) *T. confusum* fourth instar larvae (CO4) (n = 10); (9) *T. castaneum* pupae (CAP) (n = 5); (10) *T. confusum* pupae (COP) (n = 5); (11) *T. castaneum* adults (CAA) (n = 5).

Results

Olfactory responses of C. waterstoni

Table 1 Substrates tested and mean walking time upon each odour source.

Substrates	Mean Time ± SE	P-value
DCR vs DIT	189.50 (±27.70) - 96.80 (±14.00)	P<0.05
DST vs EMP	202.43 (±28.10) - 94.22 (±15.70)	P<0.05
DSH vs EMP	198.16 (±28.40) - 110.70 (±17.70)	P<0.05
DST vs HGR	272.54 (±24.80) - 77.36 (±13.70)	P<0.05
DST vs IGR	214.53 (±33.03) - 71.30 (±20.50)	P<0.05
DCR vs DST	203.50 (±33.50) - 107.75 (±17.70)	P>0.05
ADU vs 3-4 L	164.16 (±31.40) - 95.39 (±21.80)	P>0.05
LRM vs ADU	121.74 (±28.10) - 102.64 (±22.80)	P>0.05
LRM vs EMP	178.29 (±15.40) - 114.43 (±10.70)	P<0.05
3-4 L vs 1-2 L	166.50 (±25.60) - 103.90 (±22.30)	P<0.05

Olfactory responses of H. sylvanidis

Table 2 Substrates tested and mean walking time upon each odour source

Substrates	Mean Time ± SE	P-value
CAH vs EMP	250.16 (±81.90) - 81.9 (±61.30)	P<0.05
COH vs EMP	248.22 (±93.20) – 102.74 (±46.55)	P<0.05

Julius-Kühn-Archiv 463 547

CAH vs COH	282.78 (±85.49) - 118.78 (±48.57)	P<0.05
WHG vs EMP	162.00 (±52.00) – 129.00 (±44.00)	P>0.05
FLR vs EMP	131.00 (±81.00) - 163.00 (±48.00)	P>0.05
WHG vs FLR	135.00 (±55.00) – 162.00 (±57.00)	P>0.05
CA1-2 vs CA4	183.36 (±70.78) – 123.54 (±42.06)	P<0.05
CO1-2 vs CO4	228.85 (±83.21) - 97.18 (±56.40)	P<0.05
CA1-2 vs CO1-2	204.77 (±74.37) – 143.92 (±62.77)	P<0.05
CA4 vs CO4	150.79 (±69.59) – 120.35 (±66.03)	P>0.05
CAP vs COP	190.25 (±64.27) – 138.26 (±69.90)	P<0.05
CAA vs EMP	208.00 (±96.00) - 136.00 (±80.00)	P<0.05
COA vs EMP	177.10 (±78.89) – 118.79 (±46.85)	P<0.05
CAA vs COA	164. 38 (±72.04) – 152. 18 (±59.85)	P<0.05

Discussion

C. waterstoni is an arrhenotokous ecto-parasitoid ables to locate hosts recognizing their kairomonal cues left on infested substrates (Amante et al., 2017 b,c; Howard & Flinn, 1990). Among the odours tested in the host habitat experiments, dust was the most attractive. The dust contains host faeces and particles from the host's feeding substrate, i.e. plant materials. Our study showed dust from infested products plus larvae and adults were most attractive to the parasitoid C. waterstoni. Consequently our study suggests laboratory reared C. waterstoni released for biological control would be arrested in areas infested by C. ferrugineus, but would not stay in uninfested stored products.

The present study demonstrates that *H. sylvanidis* primarily relies on volatile chemical cues from all host stages for host location. Our results demonstrate that the host complexes of at least two *Tribolium* species, *T. castaneum* and *T. confusum*, release volatile chemical signals, which are attractive for naive *H. sylvanidis* females. In our experiments designed to identify the attractive elements of the host complex, naive wasps did not react to uninfested whole grains and flour, but to most of the host stages of both *Tribolium* species. Whether the kairomonal cues identified could be used to manipulate the behaviour of these parasitoids in order to increase the effectiveness of biological control has to be investigated in future studies (Amante et al., 2017 a, b, c).

References

- AMANTE, M., SCHÖLLER, M., HARDY, I.C.W. AND A. RUSSO, 2017a: Reproductive biology of *Holepyris sylvanidis* (Hymenoptera: Bethylidae). Biological Control **106**: 1–8.
- AMANTE, M., RUSSO, A., SCHÖLLER, M. AND J.L.M. STEIDLE, 2017b: Olfactory host location in the rusty grain beetle parasitoid Cephalonomia waterstoni (Gahan) (Hymenoptera: Bethylidae). Journal of stored products research 71: 1-4.
- AMANTE, M., SCHÖLLER, M., SUMA, P. AND A. RUSSO, 2017c: Bethylids attacking stored product pests: an overview. Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata 163: 251–264.
- ATHANASSIOU, C.G., VAYIAS, B.J., DIMIZAS, C.B., KAVALLIERATOS, N.G., PAPAGREGORIOU, A.S. AND C.TH. BUCHELOS, 2005: Insecticidal efficacy of diatomaceous earth against *Sitophilus oryzae* (L.) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) and *Tribolium confusum* du Val (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae) on stored wheat: influence of dose rate, temperature and exposure interval. Journal of stored products research 41: 47–55.
- FINNLAYSON, L.H. 1950a: The biology of *Cephalonomia waterstoni* Gahan (Hymenoptera: Bethylidae), a parasite of *Laemophloeus* (Coleoptera: Cucujidae). Bulletin of entomological research **41**(1): 79–97.
- FINNLAYSON, L.H. 1950b: Host preference of *Cephalonomia waterstoni* Gahan, a bethylid parasitoid of *Laemophloeus* species. Behaviour **2**: 275–316.
- FÜRSTENAU, B., ADLER, C., SCHULZ, H. AND M. HILKER, 2016: Host habitat volatiles enhance the olfactory response of the larval parasitoid *Holepyris sylvanidis* to specifically host-associated cues. Chemical senses **41**(7): 611–621.
- GARCÍA, M., DONADEL, O.J., ARDANAZ, C.E., TONN, C.E. AND M.E. SOSA, 2005: Toxic and repellent effects of *Baccharis salicifolia* essential oil on *Tribolium castaneum*. Pest management science **61**: 612–618.
- HOWARD, R.W. AND P.W. FLINN, 1990: Larval trails of *Cryptolestes ferrugineus* (Coleoptera: Cucujidae) as kairomonal host-finding cues for the parasitoid *Cephalonomia waterstoni* (Hymenoptera: Bethylidae). Annals of the Entomological Society of America 83: 239–245.
- REICHMUTH, C, SCHÖLLER, M. AND C. ULRICHS 2007: Stored product pests in grain: morphology, biology, damage, control. Agro Concept Verlagsgesellschaft, Bonn, Germany, 170 pp.
- STEIDLE, J.L.M. AND M. SCHÖLLER 1997: Olfactory host location and learning in the granary weevil parasitoid *Lariophagus distinguendus* (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae). Journal of Insect Behaviour **10**(3): 331–341.